THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No. 14223 of 2007

Date: 16.07.2007

Between:
Anandas Bikshapathi and another.

...
PETITIONERS

AND

The Central Power Distribution Company Limited, ,
rep. by its Chief General Manager (Comml), Red Hills,
Hyderabad and another.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No. 14223 of 2007

Order:

This writ petition is filed seeking a Mandamus to declare the action of the respondents in not issuing call letters to the petitioners to attend the interview for the post of Contract Junior Lineman in pursuance of the Notification dated 12.06.2006 on the ground that they have crossed 35 years of age and that they are not having I.T.I. Electrical Trade Certificates, as illegal and arbitrary.

2. The petitioners, who are working as contract labour in the respondents-APCPDCL, applied to the posts of Contract Junior Linemen in response to the notification dated 12.06.2006 issued by the respondents. They state that as per the notification, the qualification prescribed for the post of Contract junior Lineman is of Electrical Trade/Wiremen Trade in I.T.I and Vocational Courses in Electrical trade, but they possess I.T.I Fitter Trade Certificates.

It is their case that since they have been working as contract labour in the respondents-APCPDCL for more than 10 years, and now they are over aged, their cases may be considered for the posts of Junior Linemen on contract basis by relaxing the upper age limit and exempting from the qualification of ITI Electrical Trade. Hence, they filed the present writ petition.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents-APCPDCL.

4. It is the admitted case of the petitioners that they crossed 35 years, and they do not have the requisite qualification of ITI Electrical Trade Certificates as per the notification. Since the petitioners do not fulfil the required qualifications prescribed in the Notification dated 12.06.2007, the respondents have not issued the call letters to them, and no exception can be taken thereto.

5. The writ petition is devoid of merit and the same is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: 16.07.2007

sj